

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
v.)	2:17cr482-MHT
)	(WO)
CYRUS PHYFIER)	

OPINION AND ORDER

Defendant Cyrus Phyfier has filed an unopposed motion to continue his trial. He stands charged in a multi-defendant indictment for a conspiracy to distribute cocaine hydrochloride. Based on the representations made on the record on January 29, 2019, and for the reasons set forth below, the court finds that jury selection and trial, now set for February 4, 2019, should be continued to March 11, 2019.

While the granting of a continuance is left to the discretion of the trial judge, *see United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986), the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

§ 3161(c)(1). The Act excludes from the 70-day period any continuance based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." § 3161(h)(7)(A). In granting such a continuance, the court may consider, among other factors, whether the failure to grant the continuance "would be likely to ... result in a miscarriage of justice," § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), or "would deny counsel for the defendant ... reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

The court concludes that, in this case, the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the

interest of the public and Phyfier in a speedy trial. Currently, there are recently filed suppression motions, which are pending before the magistrate judge and have yet to be heard. After the motions are heard and the magistrate judge issues a recommendation, the parties must be allowed time to make objections to the recommendation and, if the motions are denied, to then prepare for trial. The February 4 trial date does not provide adequate time to do all this. The government and co-defendant William Rodriguez Ford, who is set to be tried with Phyfier, do not oppose the continuance. Based on these representations, the court concludes that a continuance is warranted to allow resolution of the suppression motions and to allow Phyfier sufficient time to prepare effectively for trial.

**

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

(1) Defendant Cyrus Phyfier's motion for continuance (doc. no. 342) is granted.

(2) The jury selection and trial, now set for February 4, 2019, are reset for March 11, 2019, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 2FMJ of the Frank M. Johnson Jr. United States Courthouse Complex, One Church Street, Montgomery, Alabama.

DONE, this the 30th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE